IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 15TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25887 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

SPENCER'S RETAIL LTD., CORPORATE MALL,
MANJERI REPRESENTED BY ITS BUSINESS HEAD KERALA,
RAJU MENON, AGED 44 YEARS, S/O.LATE M.R.NAIR.

BY ADV. SRI.H.B.SHENOY
SRI.B.ASHOK SHENOY
SMT.LAKSHMI B.SHENOY
SRI.ABU MATHEW
SRI.ANIL KURIAN THOMAS
SRI.SOBHAN GEORGE

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KERALA HEADLOAD WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD, NEAR ERNAKULAM NORTH RAILWAY STATION, SRM ROAD, ERNAKULAM KOCHI-682 017 REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE.

2. KERALA HEADLOAD WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD, ERANAD TALUK LOCAL COMMITTEE, MANJERI COMMITTEE, VALLANCHIRA AVENUE, NEAR BYEPASS JUNCTION, NILAMBUR ROAD, MANJERI.

BY ADV. SRI.KOSHY GEORGE, SC, KHLWWB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF APPLICATION DATED 6.8.2007 SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER TO 2ND RESPONDENT.

TRUE COPY

PA TO JUDGE.

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO. 25887 OF 2007

DATED THIS THE 6th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an employer, who intends to engage headload workers in the area under the jurisdiction of the Manjeri local committee for which the petitioner is stated to have filed an application for registration under the Kerala Headload Workers (Regulation of Employment & Welfare) Scheme 1983 before the 2nd respondent. According to the petitioner, the 2nd respondent is not taking appropriate steps pursuant to the application submitted by the petitioner.

2. I have heard the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents also. The learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents points out that Ext.P1 application is not filed before the 2nd respondent and submits that if a proper application is filed before the 2nd respondent by the petitioner, it would be considered in accordance with law.

In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file a proper application before the 2nd respondent in which case the 2nd respondent shall consider and pass

appropriate orders thereon as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of the application.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd